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1. Vision for a Bicycle Friendly Community

Bicycling is a sustainable, economical, and convenient mode of transportation for short and medium distance trips and is a popular form of recreation for young and old. Bicycling is good for the environment, for public health, and for reducing traffic congestion and parking demand. It offers older children a measure of independence and is a practical mode of travel to Brookline High School, sports fields, and other local destinations.

Brookline already has many conditions conducive to bicycling – compact development, proximity to major employment centers, relatively flat topography, and a temperate climate. At 4% of commuter trips made by bicycle (US Census, 2010), Brookline’s percentage has increased from 1.5% since 2000. Bicycle counts conducted during rush hour on one weekday each September since 2008 show more than 1,000 bicyclists per hour passing major checkpoints, including more than 100 people riding daily to Brookline High School. As many as 70 bicycles may be parked around Coolidge Corner at mid-day on September Saturdays.

Beacon, Harvard, Washington, Carlton Streets, and Longwood Avenue are major connectors for cyclists traveling to and from Brookline, parts of Boston, Cambridge and Newton to Kenmore Square, downtown Boston, the Longwood Medical Area, across Brookline, and between Jamaica Plain and Brighton. The Emerald Necklace passes through Brookline, and the Town has been and should continue to cooperate in making the road crossings within the Necklace safe for its users. Boston and Cambridge have made strong commitments to improving conditions for cyclists and have made major strides in recent years toward this goal. Brookline is centrally located to make a significant contribution to active efforts to link bicycle accommodations into a region-wide network through such on-going efforts as “Landline: Metro Boston Greenway Network” of the Metropolitan Area Planning Council and the “Emerald Network” plan of Livable Streets Alliance.

Brookline’s notable improvements in bicycle facilities and accommodations in recent years include more bike racks in commercial areas, additional bike lanes and shared lane markings on some high-traffic streets, contraflow lanes, a buffered bike lane on Beacon outbound from Marion to Washington Square, and institution of a $50 fine for vehicles blocking bike lanes. Brookline actively participates in the expanding “Blue Bike” bike sharing program.

However, bicycle use in Brookline remains well below its potential. For most residents, getting outside one’s immediate neighborhood requires negotiating heavily trafficked streets, resulting in a level of stress and danger that many people – especially less experienced cyclists – prefer to avoid. Every community with high bicycle use, whether in the Netherlands, Denmark, Davis (CA), Portland (OR) or Boulder (CO), offers an extensive network of bike routes with low traffic stress.

Brookline’s quiet local streets are ideal for bicycling, but they are not connected in a network that facilitates cross-town travel, and some of these are increasingly threatened by cut-through vehicle traffic. Even the town’s few dedicated bicycle facilities subject cyclists to high traffic stress. The bicycle lanes on Beacon and Harvard Streets disappear at intervals, leaving cyclists in heavy traffic, particularly at intersections. Bike lanes often occupy the “door zone” of high turnover parking lanes. Double parking and curbside parking by motor vehicles are also problems as they block bike lanes and force cyclists to stop abruptly or swerve into traffic. A 2017 study of bike lane violations showed that bike lanes on 18 segments of Harvard and Beacon Streets were blocked by motor vehicles 27% of the time. Thus, even recent improvements to bicycle facilities must be viewed as transitional; further improvements are needed to make them truly safe and low stress.
Brookline’s favorable urban structure offers the potential to create the network of low traffic stress and “green” bicycle routes that its citizens want. Other communities’ experiences suggest that Brookline can have 5 to 10% of its trips being made by bicycle within a few years. Young people will routinely cycle to school and to athletic fields for games and practice. Residents will shop and run errands by bicycle, reducing motor vehicle traffic and parking demand. New greenways will better connect South Brookline to the rest of town, a boon to walkers, joggers, and bicyclists alike. Families will enjoy riding along Brookline’s green routes, with easy access to the Town’s open spaces and to regional paths. More adults will bicycle to work and to do errands, getting the exercise and fresh air they need while saving money, enjoying their commute, and contributing to a sustainable environment. Safe routes to Green Line T stops, some of which have nearby BlueBike stations and many of which have bike racks, could help to encourage combining cycling and transit use.

Creating this network of green routes requires deliberate planning, budgeting, and refocused priorities. Some of the network can be created at minimal capital expense using road markings and traffic management changes such as parking restrictions. Other parts of the network will require modest capital improvements such as curb ramps and extensions. Some streets, notably in South Brookline and along the town’s busiest thoroughfares, will require major new infrastructure, likely requiring state or federal funding. With reasonable aid infusions for five large projects, most of the Green Routes Bicycle Network could be accomplished within a few years.

This master plan should be complemented by other Town efforts to improve conditions for cycling, including provisions for bicycle parking, safety education, traffic law enforcement, and ensuring that every street project undertaken or permitted by the Department of Public Works includes reasonable accommodation for bicyclists and pedestrians. The network evolution should be coordinated with regional plans including those for Boston, Newton, and Cambridge to maximize its benefit to the entire region.

“Brookline Complete Streets Policy” was adopted by the Board of Selectmen in 2016 and states:

The Town of Brookline shall plan, construct, and maintain its public ways to enhance safety, access, inclusion, convenience and comfort for all users, thereby creating “complete streets.” The Town will create a comprehensive transportation network that sufficiently accommodates people of all ages and abilities, whether traveling by foot, bicycle, wheelchair, mass transit, or motor vehicle.

…the Town’s transportation projects shall be designed and implemented to provide safe and comfortable access for healthful transportation choices such as walking, bicycling, and mass transit. The needs and safety of the town’s most vulnerable users shall be given special consideration during project planning. Users may be considered vulnerable by virtue of their mode of transportation, such as bicycling or walking, or because of their age or ability…. 
2. Network Plan

_Bicyclists are permitted to use every street in Brookline, from small residential streets to Route 9. Therefore, every street should be made safe and accessible to bicyclists, and bicycle accommodations should be considered whenever road work is done._

For the Town to plan and implement bicycle-related safety improvements, the Bicycle Advisory Committee has designed a _Green Routes Bicycle Network_ of safe, pleasant, and connecting routes. The network connects neighborhoods with important local destinations including schools, libraries, parks, and commercial districts; it also connects to Green Line T stops and to important bicycling routes at the Town limits, including Beacon Street in Boston and Newton, Commonwealth Avenue, Perkins Street, West Roxbury Parkway, and the Charles River bike paths. The Network avoids circuitous routes that discourage cycling. As much as possible, its routes minimize traffic stress, avoid hills, are esthetically pleasant, and minimize stops. It incorporates routes that cyclists prefer, because there is no benefit to designating routes that bicyclists won’t follow.

The network’s framework is comprised of routes that traverse the Town—roughly north-south and east-west. A second level of connecting streets provides access to and from these major routes, to the Town’s neighborhoods and key destinations such as schools and parks. Recommendations for specific streets are detailed in Sections 6 and 7 below.
3. Roadway Treatments

The network may be divided into four levels:

- **Level One:** Off-road paths such as the Muddy River/Emerald Necklace Path.

- **Level Two:** Slow traffic streets. Much of the bicycle network follows low speed streets where parking turnover is minimal. In 2017, Brookline made 25 mph the statutory speed limit on all public ways in thickly settled and business districts unless otherwise posted. Where traffic volumes are low, bicycles can share space with motor traffic; where traffic volumes are higher, bicyclists should be offered accommodations such as those described below. On the Green Routes Network map, some of these streets are shown as “connecting routes” that link streets with bicycle accommodations or link Brookline streets to town borders.

  *Traffic calmed streets* are a special case of slow traffic streets. These are streets where special measures have been taken to slow traffic for the safety of pedestrians, using such measures as speed humps, speed tables, raised crosswalks, raised intersections, median refuges and bulb-outs. These can be excellent streets for cyclists as well. However, care should be taken in designing pedestrian safety measures so that they do not increase risks for cyclists or preclude later additions of bicycle accommodations.

- **Level Three:** Greenways. Because it lacks a dense street grid, many bicycle routes in South Brookline must follow arteries carrying high speed motor traffic. Physically separate facilities offer the best and safest alternative for bicycle accommodations in this environment and can often be achieved by means of “road diets” that reduce pavement, decrease storm water runoff, and permit development of tree-lined greenways that benefit both pedestrians and cyclists. This part of the network requires extensive roadway changes, entailing considerable expense and planning effort. A successful example of this approach is the Leverett Pond bike and pedestrian paths, where a street was converted to park and paths, diverting motor traffic to the parallel Pond Avenue and providing separate paths for pedestrians and cyclists.

- **Level Four:** Main streets. Beacon Street, Harvard Street, Washington Street (which joins Route 9) and Route 9 are Brookline’s main streets that carry through traffic and host busy commercial centers with high turnover parking. These functions make it challenging to devote more roadway space to bicycles. But at the same time, they make these streets the most important to improve for safe and low stress bicycling.

  Although some bicyclists mix comfortably with moderate speed motor traffic, most of the bicycling (or potential bicycling) population is “traffic-intolerant”: people who can follow the rules of the road, and who don’t mind riding on low-speed, low-traffic local streets, but who elsewhere want to be separated from the stress of sharing space with motor traffic. The *Green Routes Bicycle Network* aims to accommodate traffic-intolerant cyclists by offering the separation from traffic that they need, using a variety of designs. In addition to off-road paths such as the Muddy River/Emerald Necklace path and directing cyclists along residential streets with low traffic volumes and speeds, the *Green Routes Bicycle Network* includes the following roadway treatments.

  - **Cycle tracks or protected bike lanes** are parallel to a road but are physically separated from motor traffic lanes by a curb or buffer. They are the most common bicycle accommodation in the
Netherlands and Denmark, countries that have set the standard for high levels of bicycle use and safety. Increasingly, they are seen as necessary to make the busiest streets safe and low stress for bicycling.

One-way paths lying on either side of the road, as on Vassar Street in Cambridge, work well in many situations. Two-way paths, as on Memorial Drive, may be preferred on roads with few intersections and where safe transitions at path endpoints and intersections can be provided.

Ideally, roadside bike paths are distinct from the sidewalk. However, in a park or greenway, they can be shared space. Sections of a bike path can also be shared with automobiles if limited to a driveway function (access to a few homes) and engineered for driveway speeds.

- **Buffered bike lanes are bike lanes** separated from the adjacent vehicle travel lane and/or parking lane by a buffer lane of some width—usually designated by striped painted lines in the buffer area. Buffers increase cyclists’ safety by designating an area adjacent to a bike lane to highlight a safe door opening area and/or vehicle passing distance.

- **Bike lanes** designate exclusive space for bicyclists. Lanes are appropriate on moderate speed streets with low turbulence, i.e., without high turnover parking lanes and intersection approaches with heavy right turning traffic. Where there is parallel parking, bike lanes must be wide enough and adequately engineered to discourage bicyclists from riding in the “door zone,” where opening vehicle doors can create a significant hazard to cyclists. Bike lanes that terminate abruptly, for example to make space for a turning lane, make roads unaccommodating for most bicyclists.

- **Contraflow lanes** allow two-way bicycle traffic on streets designated as one-way for motor traffic. On a contraflow street, all traffic stays to the right of a yellow “center” line; however, the lane in the contraflow direction is narrow because only bikes are permitted in that direction. “One Way” and “Do Not Enter” signs on such streets require a supplementary plaque on “Do Not Enter” signs: “Except Bicycles.”

- **In Brookline, one-way** restrictions are often applied to keep through traffic off residential streets, making those streets ideal for contraflow bicycling. In many situations, contraflow offers bicyclists safer and more direct routes. Contraflow bike travel has an excellent safety record in Europe and the United States. Several of Brookline’s one-way streets already carry considerable contraflow bicycle traffic. Formally designating such streets two-way for bicycles using signs and markings should improve safety as it raises motorists’ expectations of finding opposing bicycle traffic. Contraflow lanes were implemented on Netherlands and Parkway Roads and have been used successfully since 2009. Formal designation is necessary before any route with contraflow can be marked with signs or on a map; it is also a powerful way of indicating that bikes are intended users of our streets.

- **Bike boxes.** A bike box is a marked queuing area for bikes in front of the stop line for vehicles at a signalized intersection. Bike boxes improve safety by putting stopped bikes ahead of cars or providing suggested two-stage left turns for bicyclists. Setting the motor vehicle stop line back from the intersection also improves pedestrian comfort and visibility.

- **Bicycle priority lanes** are shared travel lanes (bike and motor vehicle) indicated by “Bikes may use full lane” signs and/or roadway markings. These lanes are not recommended, nor are they considered adequate to satisfy the Complete Streets Policy without the granting of an exception, because if traffic speeds or volumes are great enough to require special signage or road markings, then they are great enough to require bike lanes (see NACTO table below). Nevertheless, in Brookline, sharrows are in use on Longwood Avenue and Washington Street, for example. Markings that delineate a bicycle priority zone allow cyclists to travel more confidently on street segments where a full bike lane is unfeasible.

- **One-Way Streets with end-marked bike contraflow:** One-way streets often offer cyclists quiet connections or routes around busy streets and intersections. In northern Europe, cyclists are
permitted to bike against the one-way flow of traffic on low volume one-way streets without the formality of a painted lane. Signs are used at the beginning and end of the street: on the “no entry” end, an additional sign is added—“except bicycles.” On the other end, a sign is added to alert drivers to “Expect two-way bicycle traffic.” A pilot of such road use might be tried on three to six streets, where motor traffic is already low volume and low speed, and residents favor participating. Candidate streets include Abbottsford, Beals, Coolidge, Crowninshield, Egmont, Fuller, Green and Thorndike, among others.

No passing bicycles zones are appropriate on short sections of streets that are too narrow for a car to pass a bike without crossing the center line, and where crossing the center line is dangerous because of frequent intersections or limited sight distance. One example is Carlton Street southbound from Beacon Street, where motorists frequently squeeze past bicyclists with little clearance, and often drive on the wrong side of the road as they approach the sharp turn onto Colchester Street. Another example is on curved sections of Heath Street. A posted passing restriction encourages bicyclists to ride at a safe distance from the curb, making the restriction self-enforcing, and relieving motorists of the stress of seeking an opportunity to pass when it isn’t safe to do so.

Road diets (pavement reductions) reduce the number of lanes on a road, usually to one in each direction, plus short extra lanes where needed for capacity at intersections. Candidates include Lee and Clyde Streets, Hammond Street, and Newton Street and West Roxbury Parkway bordering the Putterham Golf Course. The reclaimed space can be used to create greenways with tree lawns and shared use paths, benefiting both pedestrians and cyclists.

Intersections are the most dangerous locations for all road users and the sites of many crashes. For the safety of cyclists, it is important to continue bicycle lanes through intersections and sometimes paint them green to alert motorists (particularly turning motorists) of the presence of bicyclists. Bike boxes can also be useful at signalized intersections.

Detours during construction: At construction sites that block normal sidewalks and bicycle paths, safe accommodations for walkers and cyclists should be provided around the blockage.

20 mph regulatory speed zone: Massachusetts law (MGL c90 section 18B) allows municipalities to establish regulatory 20 mph safety zones in certain cases to make a street safer.

In 2017 the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) added guidance to their Urban Bikeway Design Guide for selecting context-appropriate roadway treatments that are suitable for bicyclists of all ages and abilities. The most appropriate treatment depends on motor vehicle speeds and volumes, as well as other factors. As can be seen from the table below, taken from the NACTO Guide, streets with speeds above 20 mph and volumes above 1500 ADT should have some form of dedicated bike lane. In such cases, if providing a bike lane is not feasible, a regulatory 20 mph Safety Zone speed limit should be established where allowed by MA law. Source: NACTO (https://nacto.org/publication/urban-bikeway-design-guide/designing-ages-abilities-new/choosing-ages-abilities-bicycle-facility)
While posted or 85th percentile motor vehicle speed are commonly used design speed targets, 95th percentile speed captures high-end speeding, which causes greater stress to bicyclists and more frequent passing events. Setting target speed based on this threshold results in a higher level of bicycling comfort for the full range of riders.

Setting 25 mph as a motor vehicle speed threshold for providing protected bikeways is consistent with many cities’ traffic safety and Vision Zero policies. However, some cities use a 30 mph posted speed as a threshold for protected bikeways, consistent with providing Level of Traffic Stress level 2 (LTS 2) that can effectively reduce stress and accommodate more types of riders.

Operational factors that lead to bikeway conflicts are reasons to provide protected bike lanes regardless of motor vehicle speed and volume.

---

**Table: Roadway Context**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Motor Vehicle Speed</th>
<th>Target Motor Vehicle Volume (ADT)</th>
<th>Motor Vehicle Lanes</th>
<th>Key Operational Considerations</th>
<th>All Ages &amp; Abilities Bicycle Facility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Any</td>
<td><em>Any of the following:</em> high curbside activity, frequent buses, motor vehicle congestion, or turning conflicts†</td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10 mph</td>
<td>Less relevant</td>
<td>No centerline, or single lane one-way</td>
<td>Pedestrians share the roadway</td>
<td>Shared Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 20 mph</td>
<td>≤ 1,000 – 2,000</td>
<td>&lt; 50 motor vehicles per hour in the peak direction at peak hour</td>
<td>Bicycle Boulevard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 25 mph</td>
<td>≤ 500 – 1,500</td>
<td>Single lane each direction, or single lane one-way</td>
<td>Low curbside activity, or low congestion pressure</td>
<td>Conventional or Buffered Bicycle Lane, or Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 1,500 – 3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Buffered or Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤ 3,000 – 6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Multiple lanes per direction</td>
<td></td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 26 mph†</td>
<td>≤ 6,000</td>
<td>Single lane each direction</td>
<td>Low curbside activity, or low congestion pressure</td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane, or Reduce Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple lanes per direction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane, or Reduce to Single Lane &amp; Reduce Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-speed limited access roadways, natural corridors, or geographic edge conditions with limited conflicts</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>High pedestrian volume</td>
<td>Bike Path with Separate Walkway or Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low pedestrian volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shared-Use Path or Protected Bicycle Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† While posted or 85th percentile motor vehicle speed are commonly used design speed targets, 95th percentile speed captures high-end speeding, which causes greater stress to bicyclists and more frequent passing events. Setting target speed based on this threshold results in a higher level of bicycling comfort for the full range of riders.

† Setting 25 mph as a motor vehicle speed threshold for providing protected bikeways is consistent with many cities’ traffic safety and Vision Zero policies. However, some cities use a 30 mph posted speed as a threshold for protected bikeways, consistent with providing Level of Traffic Stress level 2 (LTS 2) that can effectively reduce stress and accommodate more types of riders.

‡ Operational factors that lead to bikeway conflicts are reasons to provide protected bike lanes regardless of motor vehicle speed and volume.
4. Signage and Pavement Markings

Wayfinding Signage
Wayfinding signage for cyclists provides information on distances/directions to key locations and the optimal cycling routes to those destinations. Besides guiding cyclists, destination signs advertise the Town’s bike routes, tourist sites, and commercial areas, promoting bicycling and bicycle tourism. They also convey to motorists and cyclists alike the message that our society supports bicycling.

An additional benefit of wayfinding is that it increases awareness for automobile drivers as well. When they see a cycle/wayfinding sign, it indicates that this is a cycling route/corridor/bicycling friendly road. Compared to other cities, Brookline and Boston have very little wayfinding signage. On the Riverway/Olmsted park path, for example, there is not one sign that indicates “this way to destination X” (Fenway, Jamaica Pond, MFA, etc.), or “path continues over there.”

Some efforts are underway in downtown Boston and in Cambridge with signage pointing to Alewife T Station, Harvard Square, and so on.

We recommend three signage guidelines as part of the Green Routes Plan:

• Improved signage and wayfinding to destinations in Brookline (i.e. Coolidge Corner), and commuting corridors (to downtown, medical area, river, etc.)
• Wayfinding should be destination oriented but also best route oriented (i.e., where there are bike lanes and markers)
• Signage should be large enough to be visible to cyclists and to drivers

Other Bicycle Signage
Other bicycle specific signs and pavement markings may include “sharrows” (see explanation below), “bikes may use full lane,” and “except bicycles” (where bicycles are permitted to make maneuvers or enter areas not permitted for motorists). Green paint can be used to highlight bike areas, particularly at intersections. Another way to guide cyclists is to mark the pavement with a double chevron (>>) on intersection approaches, tilting the chevrons to the right where the bike route turns. This kind of marking, used in Brussels, is especially valuable on bike routes that follow side streets.

Sharrows
Shared lane markings (“sharrows”) indicate where cyclists should ride in a shared travel lane to be safe from opening doors on parked cars or other hazards. Motorists drive as normal in a shared lane when no cyclists are present; when cyclists are present, motorists should slow down and pass with care, at a safe distance, and only when it can be done without endangering the cyclist or oncoming traffic. As
discussed in Section 3 on roadway treatments, sharrows are not recommended, nor are they considered adequate to satisfy the *Complete Streets Policy* without the granting of an exception.

**Except Bikes**
Signs indicate that bicyclists are permitted to make maneuvers or enter areas not permitted for motorists, such as traveling both ways on a street restricted to one-way motorist traffic.

**Change lanes to pass**
This sign reminds motorists to pass cyclists at a safe distance by changing lanes.

**Share the Road**
Share the road signs are generally not considered to be useful; this one may be the best one to use—if any.

**Bikes May Use Full Lane**
Research has shown that this sign communicates more clearly than either Sharrows or Share the Road signs

**Walk your bike**
“Walk your bike” signs have been installed in Brookline’s commercial areas to remind cyclists to walk their bikes on sidewalks in business districts.
5. Bicycle Accommodations: General Comments

Bicycle Friendly Community Status: Moving from Bronze to Silver
In the spring of 2016 Brookline received a bronze Bicycle Friendly Community designation from the national League of American Bicyclists. The report card that accompanied the designation shows how Brookline matches up to national standards of bike friendliness and indicates key steps toward the next level. See appendices.

Electric Bikes (E-Bikes) and Scooters
E-Bikes run on electric power as well as pedaling. Generally, a small motor using rechargeable batteries is located on the bike to assist the rider in pedaling; standard e-bikes travel about 16-20 mph. Brookline should consider how to accommodate e-bikes, considering the following:
- E-bikes will increase the total number of bicycles on the road
- They will lead to an increased number of people using bicycles, representing a wider breadth of age groups, physical conditions, bicycle awareness and experience.
- They will use the same infrastructure as bicycles, both on the road and for parking/locking, etc.
- They can travel substantially faster than most bicyclists, especially uphill.
- Eventually, there will also be a need for charging stations (possibly solar-powered); these can be either standalone or combined with electric vehicle (EV) charging stations.

Electric scooters are becoming prevalent in cities around the world, operated similarly to dockless bike share systems by companies such as Bird and Lime. In some cities, the usage of electric scooters is already outpacing that of bicycles in shared systems. As electric scooters spread, there are serious issues related to their use, which need to be understood and addressed. For example, when ridden on sidewalks, they pose a safety hazard to pedestrians, and when used in bike lanes, their potential higher speeds might also be hazardous. At the same time, growth of electric scooters could be a catalyst for additional investment in infrastructure to accommodate alternative modes of transportation, in addition to bicycles and e-bikes. Brookline has been working with Boston, Cambridge, and the Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC) to develop both pilot programs and legislation which will be introduced by the MAPC in early 2019 to allow for scooters’ legal use and regulation in Massachusetts. Brookline has invited e-scooter share companies to community events to introduce the micro-mobility device to residents and allow test drives.

Automatic Bicycle Counters have been installed in many cities and prove a useful source both of bicycling data and as points of interest and encouragement for cycling. The one at the left is in Portland, Oregon; the one at the right is at Staniford and Causeway Streets in Boston.

Replacement/Maintenance of Bicycle Accommodations
Once put in place, bicycle accommodations on Brookline’s streets need to be adequately maintained. Whether in the form of painted lines, stencils, bollards, curbs, or other means of providing safety for cyclists sharing roads with vehicular traffic, it is essential that these accommodations be promptly replaced or maintained when damaged or removed by road/construction projects or by regular wear and tear. Monitoring of utility and other construction work should assure that trenches or other pavement
cuttings do not leave dangerous ridges in bike lanes. It is also important that bike lanes be kept clear of overhanging vegetation, debris and snow.

Brook-on-Line is a useful method for reporting problems that need to be addressed by various Town Departments; however, new categories directly related to bicycle issues on the site would be useful.

Filling in Gaps in the Green Routes Network
Brookline has added a number of bicycle accommodations in recent years, and streets with those accommodations are seeing a gradual increase in bicycle traffic. For bicycling to become a truly safe and viable form of transportation within and across town, it is important that both large and small gaps in the network be filled in, otherwise it is not a network. Bicycle lanes that disappear at intersections (the most dangerous place for all road users) or that start and stop along a major road as they do on Harvard Street, do not offer safe, continuous routes that most bicyclists will feel comfortable using. Major gaps are delineated in the street list and map of the network, but it should be noted that there are also small gaps that need to be filled to knit together safe, continuous bike routes. A few examples:

- Safe crossing of Netherlands Road between Parkway Road and the Muddy River Path
- Multiple interruptions of the bike lanes along the length of Harvard Street, where bike lanes repeatedly turn into shared bicycle/vehicle lanes
- Beacon Street outbound from Pleasant Street to Marion Street
- Washington Street, where bike lanes turn into shared bicycle/vehicle lanes, e.g., northbound from the Main Library exit drive to School Street or near and across the intersection with Beacon Street

Improvements to Bicycle Accommodations
For bicycling to become a truly safe and viable form of transportation within and across town for persons of all ages and abilities, it is also important to continue improving existing bicycle accommodations, especially on busy streets. For example, some bike lanes need to be widened, buffered or physically protected to reduce the perceived and real risk of crashes such as dooring. Other examples include upgrading sharrows to bike lanes.

Projects to implement Brookline’s Green Routes Bicycle Network are presented below in various categories: Priorities highlight projects that connect various parts of the network and various parts of the town to increase safety and comfort for travel among educational, recreational, governmental and commercial destinations. The street list provides explanations and rationales for individual projects by street, supplemented by some detailed recommendations (see appendices). Streets are listed alphabetically to facilitate incorporation of bike accommodations into ongoing street rehabilitation, repaving or rebuilding. Projects approved/awaiting funding have been reviewed and approved by the Transportation Board. Projects funded/awaiting construction are already scheduled for construction, although some may be delayed because of factors such as concurrent utility or road work in the area. Finally, completed projects highlight those projects that have been completed since the Green Routes plan was developed in 2008; this is both a celebration of accomplishment and a convenient reference to assist in developing new projects or making further upgrades.

The 2008 plan anticipated the Town increasing bicycle project funding from zero to $110,000 per year, which amounts to about $2 per resident per year. Major projects identified in this plan will require much larger amounts. In some cases, state and federal aid may be available for more expensive projects. Small sidewalk repair, signage, and marking projects can be implemented within the Town’s normal maintenance program.
6. Priorities

Projects that contribute to a safe cycling network throughout Brookline are assigned the highest priority. Routes to schools, parks, business districts and other frequently visited destinations that connect existing paths, bike lanes and quiet streets are assigned a high priority. Completing bike routes on major streets that are heavily used by commuting or shopping cyclists—such as Beacon Street, Harvard Street, and Longwood Avenue—are also high priority. When reviewing projects on one street, attention should be given to intersecting streets to ensure connectivity within the network. The priority list highlights comprehensive projects, but also lists in boldface individual streets/intersections that could be handled as stand-alone projects that would eventually contribute to the entire network. The priority list also includes treatments that are relatively new to Brookline and deserve pilot projects that may lead to more extensive use of the treatment.

As noted in the introduction, bicyclists are entitled to use all streets in Brookline. Whenever changes or improvements in roads are planned, consideration should be given to bicycle and pedestrian safety and convenience, in particular:

- Intersections are the most dangerous locations for all street users—pedestrians, cyclists and motorists—and the sites of many crashes and injuries. Therefore, special attention should be given to clear priorities and safe passage across and through intersections for all users. This may require special traffic signals and/or pavement markings for crosswalks and bicycle crossings.
- All traffic signals should either be on a regular cycle that provides opportunities for all road users to cross in all directions OR sensors should be set in such a way that they can be triggered by a cyclist in the road.
- Cyclists should be permitted to cross streets with advance pedestrian walk signs, as long as doing so does not endanger pedestrians.
- Street lights should be adequate (and appropriately aimed) on all streets to make the right of way visible to pedestrians and cyclists.
- Changes such as bulb outs that force cyclists to temporarily merge with motor traffic should be avoided.

1. **Schools:** In conjunction with Safe Routes to School programs and to assure the safety of students, special consideration should be given to pedestrian and bicycle safety in and around all school grounds. Particular attention should be paid to separating vehicle pick-up/drop-off areas from student walking and bicycle routes. With plans currently underway for new/remodeled/expanded schools to accommodate increases in enrollment, it is especially important that plans for new/remodeled schools should include sidewalk improvements, bicycle accommodations and safe pick-up and drop-off areas to enhance the ability of students to walk or bike safely to schools. A few general suggestions are offered here and in the street list in the next section. As other schools are remodeled or the streets around them are scheduled for work, they should receive the same kind of attention to safety.

For possible upcoming school projects, a few areas of concern can be highlighted:

- Driscoll School is close to Beacon and Washington Streets (and their intersection). Careful attention should be given to the safety of students who must cross those streets. Where possible, safe routes should be established on adjacent Bartlett St., Westbourne Terrace, and on the school grounds themselves.
- Baldwin School is near busy Route 9, Hammond Street, and Woodland Road, so special attention should be given to enhancing student safety. A new traffic signal has already been approved for Hammond and Woodland, but attention should also be given to traffic
patterns and parking around the school to be sure that students have safe walking and biking routes.

2. **Muddy River Route**—Reconnect all sections of the Emerald Necklace from the Carlton Street Footbridge to Jamaica Pond, including safe crossings at **Brookline Avenue, River Road**, and **Parkway Road**. In 2011-12, the Selectmen’s Committee on Emerald Necklace Bicycle and Pedestrian Crossings reviewed and designed new crossings at these locations with help from staff and consultants. A member of the Bicycle Advisory Committee served on the committee. The draft plan has been presented to the Board of Selectmen.

3. **Coolidge Corner**: Incorporate recommendations of the “Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Assessments, Brookline, MA Harvard Street Corridor: Longwood Avenue to Shailer Street” (see appendices) This report was prepared through the cooperation of the Massachusetts Department of Transportation, Walk Boston and Mass Bike. Its goal was to study this section of Coolidge Corner and make recommendations to improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety and convenience.

4. **Beacon Street**—Improvements to safety for bicyclists along Beacon Street and access points such as **Park Street** are very important. This is a heavily used bicycle route and provides access to local commercial districts. Section 7 and appendices detail the sections of Beacon Street that are part of this project. Research on Beacon Street shows that unprotected bicycle lanes are inadequate provisions for people who cycle on Beacon Street because the lanes are frequently blocked by stopped motor vehicles. The goal is to eventually provide protected bike lanes in both directions for the entire length of Beacon Street through Brookline, and a detailed proposal is being developed to use the bridle path from the original Olmsted design for Beacon Street to do that (see details below).

5. **Harvard Street**—Improvements to safety for bicyclists along Harvard Street are very important. This is a heavily used cross-town bicycle route and provides access to local commercial districts and schools. Section 7 and appendices detail sections of Harvard Street that are part of this project. Research on Harvard Street shows that unprotected bicycle lanes are inadequate provisions for people who cycle there because the lanes are frequently blocked by stopped motor vehicles. The goal is to eventually provide protected bike lanes in both directions for the entire length of Harvard Street through Brookline.

6. **Washington Street**—This is an important route through Brookline and connects Brookline Village and Washington Square. Bicycle safety improvements along the length of the street have been initiated in the form of bicycle lanes and shared lanes, but further improvement is needed and is detailed in Section 7 and the appendices.

7. **Greenways in South Brookline** include **Lee/Clyde Streets, Newton Street, West Roxbury Parkway** and **Hammond Street**. These projects would substantially improve access between South Brookline and North Brookline as well as improving recreational options for cyclists and pedestrians throughout South Brookline. The greenway proposal is a major undertaking, but segments could be built as individual projects, along with improvements to streets and intersections such as **Dudley Street, Walnut Street, Heath Street**, and **Chestnut Hill Avenue**. Each change would improve the bicycling environment. Existing facilities could be substantially improved by adjusting lane markings. Reducing the motor traffic lane width can enhance bike facilities: buffer existing bike lanes, add bike lanes where they don’t exist, or widen shoulders. Where a bike lane or wide shoulder is dropped at intersections and circles, mark the merger with stripes, green paint, bike symbols and signs for motor vehicles to yield to bicycles.
8. **Bicycle Parking** is essential to making it convenient to use bicycles for transportation. Single and multiple use racks have been installed in many locations around Brookline, but additional bike parking is needed. “Hitching posts” installed on parking meters (and parking meters themselves) are useful for bicycle parking. Town residents have noted a need for additional bike parking at such locations as Town Hall, the Public Safety Building on Washington Street, the small commercial area at Washington and School/Cypress Streets, at schools and parks, and in some residential areas where there are no parking meters and few sign posts available to secure bicycles. Seasonal bike corrals are successfully installed each year in Coolidge Corner and on Washington St. at Station St.

9. **Bicycle Channels at Stairways**: Brookline has several stairways that connect one street to another through the center of blocks. For cyclists, it would be very helpful to have channels next to the stairs for bicycle wheels, so that a rider could push a bike up or down a stairway to avoid a long ride around. This is particularly important on the stairs between the C Line trolley tracks and the outbound section of Beacon Street at the Brandon Hall and Fairbanks T stops.
7. Street List of Proposed Projects

Projects are listed below alphabetically by street name. Asterisks highlight projects and intersections that are particularly important and/or dangerous as currently configured. These should have high priority for reconfiguration, repair, or other appropriate attention. Bicyclists are entitled to use all streets in Brookline. Whenever changes or improvements in roads are planned, consideration should be given to bicycle and pedestrian safety in accordance with the “Brookline Complete Streets Policy.” Specific concerns are noted in section 6 above and in the street list below. See appendices for general estimates of project size and cost.

One important way to test proposals for new bicycle accommodations is to set them up for temporary trials to assess their value and impact on all street users. These pilot projects might last for as little as a few days to as long as a year (as on Beacon Street outbound from Marion to Westbourne Terrace). Many can be accomplished with traffic cones, water-soluble paint or other inexpensive and temporary materials and can provide invaluable information on the perceived safety for cyclists, impact on pedestrians, and effect on traffic flow.

Allandale Road: Mark bike lanes in both directions between Grove St. and the Town Line; keep brush cut back from sides of the road where it currently impedes on the existing shoulder. This would provide a safer bike route to Allandale Farms. At the Brookline/Boston line, the road narrows suddenly, and there should be warning signs at a minimum. Preferably Brookline and Boston could work together to improve the bicycling conditions toward the Arboretum in Boston.

Alton Place between Harvard Street and St. Paul Street: Add a contra-flow bike lane.

Aspinwall Avenue between St Paul and Kent: Add bicycle lanes or sharrows and assure that traffic is slow enough for bicycles to mix safely with cars.

Aspinwall Avenue between Kent and Brookline Ave: Add bicycle lanes in both directions.

*Atherton Road: Add contraflow lane from Winchester Street to Summit Avenue to provide a quiet, safe route to the buffered bike lane on Beacon Street (just beyond Summit), allowing some cyclists to avoid the heavy traffic of Coolidge Corner.

Babcock Street: Eventually, Babcock Street should be made more bicycle and pedestrian friendly for its entire length by providing protected bike lanes in both directions. Babcock Street’s importance as a connector for bicycles will only grow in the future because it will provide a direct route between Brookline and Commonwealth Avenue, the projected West Station, and newly created parkland and bicycle paths along the Charles River (all part of the I-90 Allston/West Station project).

Bartlett Street: Install contraflow lane from Washington Street to Westbourne Terrace to improve access to Driscoll School. Consider safe ways to access school grounds—perhaps with shared use paths around the school grounds themselves and safe areas for bicycle parking.

Beacon Street: The possibility of reclaiming the former bridle path in the center of Beacon Street along the C line tracks as a two-way protected bicycle path should be actively pursued. The Bridle Path, designed by the Olmsted firm, is shown as the dark gray strip by the side of the train tracks in the accompanying historical photo. (Collected by
This proposal would significantly enhance the safety of a major route through Brookline and would connect to bicycle accommodations in the regional network at Boston and Newton. The proposal is to reclaim the Olmsted designed bridle path, on the wide side of Beacon Street through the length of Brookline to provide a two-way cycle track with cross-overs at intersections where the wide side of Beacon Street shifts from one side of the MBTA tracks to the other (Coolidge Corner and Washington Square). Plans are being developed to accomplish this without much—if any—loss of parking or travel lanes. The contemporary photo shows how a ten+ foot wide two-way cycle track could be installed while maintaining angled parking.

**Beacon Street outbound from Boston City line to Harvard Street:** Upgrade bicycle facilities to protect cyclists better from moving motor vehicles and the door zone of parked cars by moving the bike lane between the curb and the parking lane, as has been done in Boston just to the east of the Town line. See details in appendices.

**Beacon Street inbound at Pleasant Street:** Paint a green contraflow bike lane across the MBTA tracks, across the outbound side of Beacon St. and onto Pleasant Street to the west of the small triangular park. This provides a more direct turn from Beacon St. inbound to Pleasant Street for cyclists (a frequent maneuver) and better visibility as cyclists join the flow of traffic on Pleasant Street northbound. These changes should be coordinated with changes to the traffic signal timing/coordination to assure greater safety for pedestrians and cyclists using the intersection.

**Beacon Street at Charles Street:** Traffic signal for left/U-turn from Beacon Street inbound to Beacon Street outbound or from Charles Street to turn left on Beacon should be triggered by a bicycle.

*Beacon Street outbound from Pleasant to Marion Street:* Design safe passage for bicycles from the end of the Beacon Street bike lane to start of bike lane at Marion Street.

**Beacon Street outbound from Westbourne Terrace to Washington Square:** Improve transition from the end of the bike lane near Westbourne Terrace to Washington Street intersection.

*Beacon Street Morning Rush Hour Bicycle Lane (inbound)* via parking restrictions on sections of Beacon Street inbound where parking is allowed and there is no bike lane. Time-limited restrictions during the morning commuting period would effectively create a 7’ wide bicycle lane to the right of the two lanes of motor vehicle traffic, thereby increasing safety and throughput for both cyclists and motorists.

**Beverly Road:** This is a wide, relatively quiet road, so specific bicycle accommodations may not be needed. However, additional safety measures, such as wider crosswalks at the Baker School grounds should be considered to improve safety during the busy times of school opening and closing.

**Bicycle Racks** Additional bicycle racks are requested for Town Hall, particularly under the parking lot side of the overhang outside the entrance to provide some protection from weather; at some public schools; lower Beacon Street between Carlton and St. Mary’s Streets on the inbound side of Beacon Street; Cypress/School/Washington business area; at parks throughout town that have few if any bicycle parking racks. Specific requests have been made for Gibbs Street between Beals Street and Naples Road.
Brookline Avenue—Bike lanes between Town line and Washington Street (Route 9) would continue lanes and sharrows already installed by Boston through the Longwood Medical Area and provide an important connection to the Emerald Necklace paths and Brookline Village.

*Brookline Avenue crossing for Emerald Necklace/Muddy River Path* at Parkway Road requiring new signals, signal synchronization, curb extension, curb ramps: The Muddy River Path is an attractive greenway for cyclists traveling between the Landmark Center and Jamaica Pond. Brookline Avenue bisects the path, and it is difficult to cross this four-lane road due to heavy traffic, physical barriers, and the lack of a dedicated crossing. This project requires a traffic signal installed at the intersection of Brookline Avenue and Parkway Road with a sequence tied to the signal at the corner of Aspinwall Ave and Brookline Ave. This would allow for a safely cross between Parkway Road (with its contraflow lane) and the Emerald Necklace. Additionally, a curb extension and ramps are necessary to allow bicycles to safely queue on the southeast side of Brookline Ave and to ascend onto the greenway. (In 2011, Brookline received a grant to proceed with planning of this and other connections for the Emerald Necklace.)

Charles Street: Install a contraflow lane for cyclists southbound beyond the garage entrance to Sewall Avenue; then a contraflow lane on Sewall Avenue to Longwood Avenue to provide safe access to the rear of businesses.

Chestnut Hill Avenue between Route 9 and Beacon Street at Cleveland Circle: Chestnut Hill Ave is approximately 38 feet wide from Boylston St (Rt 9) to Clinton Rd. In this area, car travel lanes should be reduced to 10 feet wide to help reduce car speeds to the posted speed limit of 25 mph. The rest of the lane width can be split evenly on both sides for a buffered bike lane. From Clinton Rd to Beacon St, bike lanes should be continued with the buffered area being reduced or removed as necessary. In order to accommodate the bike lane, the additional northward car travel lane should not be given until the entrance to the MBTA depot is reached since this is where the road widens. Finally, paint that directs bikes to cross the tracks in the safest way should be added. This could become a major route from South Brookline (extending the bike lanes on Lee/Clyde Streets) to Dean Road, Clinton Street and Beacon Street at Cleveland Circle.

Chestnut Hill Avenue at Clinton is a difficult crossing for cyclists and pedestrians, with poor sight lines. A median refuge is recommended to allow crossing half of Chestnut Hill Avenue at a time.

Chestnut Hill commercial district bike lanes and paths: This project helps to make the Chestnut Hill shopping area safer and more accessible for bicyclists. It takes advantage of the relative safety of both Middlesex Road on the north side of Route 9 and Heath Street on the south. The project involves creating bike lanes on Hammond Street from Middlesex Road to the shopping center and then extending a bike path through the shopping center connecting to the traffic light at Tully Street, then to Heath Street and to and from Hammond Pond Parkway. Some of the bike path would be built as a sidewalk extension. Coordination with the City of Newton is essential. An additional accommodation could be to re-stripe the Hammond Street/Route 9 intersection to make it safer for bicyclists to go from Middlesex, across Route 9 to a right turn on Heath Street.

Cypress Street (near Washington Street, CVS): Improve southbound approach to Washington Street. See appendices.

Davis Avenue at Cypress Street: Traffic signal should be triggered by cyclists waiting to cross Cypress Street.

Davis Avenue: Install bike lane from Cypress Street to Greenough Street to increase safety for cyclists going to and beyond the High School.
Dean Road from Clinton Street to Beacon Street would benefit from bike lanes since this stretch provides an important connection between Beacon Street and routes to the Runkle School and the High School.

Dummer Street is 24 ft wide and rises gently in the westbound direction. Westbound it carries a lot of rush hour traffic from the BU Bridge into North Brookline, and eastbound it carries traffic to the BU Bridge and Commonwealth Avenue, so bike accommodations would be beneficial. The most heavily trafficked section is between Essex and Amory, where a bike lane westbound and sharrows eastbound should be possible. The same treatment might be possible between Amory and St Paul, although there are some loading docks perpendicular to traffic flow that might frequently block the westbound bike lane. Between St Paul and Pleasant, bike treatments would be difficult because the street primarily serves as a parking lot, with parallel parking for the BHA in the eastbound direction and commercial parking westbound.

Dwight Street is Y shaped; on the stem of the Y, Dwight has parking on the north side and is about 24 feet wide. The arms of the Y are about 20 feet wide--one arm has parking south side (one travel lane going east) and the other on the north side (one travel lane going west). There is two-way traffic on the stem. Add sharrows for the length of the street.

Freeman Street: Improve design of intersection with Babcock Street to slow vehicular turns. One possibility is to reconfigure and enlarge the small park to make one T-intersection of Freeman with Babcock rather than the two current intersections on either side of the park. Implement traffic calming to discourage cars from speeding to beat the traffic lights at Pleasant and St Paul Streets or change the signals at Pleasant and at St Paul Streets to be 4-way stop controlled. Besides reducing speeding, this would reduce pedestrian waits at the intersections.

Goddard Avenue provides a direct connection between South Brookline and Boston at Jamaica Pond. In its present configuration, it is narrow and winding, but should reconstruction be possible in the future, it should be considered for bicycle accommodations, such as an off-road side path for bicyclists and pedestrians. In the meantime, sharrows and “no passing of bicycles” signs could improve safety for those cyclists who do use it.

Green Street: Add a commercial loading and unloading zone at the intersection with Harvard Street to reduce the incidence of motor vehicles standing in the bicycle lane on Harvard Street between Beacon Street and Green Street.

Green Street: Stripe and sign Green Street for a contraflow lane for bicycles from Dwight Street to Harvard Street (southbound), add sharrow markings safely out of the door zone in the northbound direction, remove the existing fog line separating the motor traffic lane from the parking lane, and provide adequate provisions to enhance pedestrian and bicycle safety where the contraflow lane meets the crosswalk at Harvard Street. This would provide substantially improved access to Coolidge Corner for cyclists coming from the north. It would also provide greater safety in the northbound direction, serving as a lower stress alternative to the sharrows on northbound Babcock. (We are concerned that the current fog line between the parking and travel lanes encourages inexperienced cyclists to bike in the door zone, rather than at a safe distance from parked cars.) Because Green Street connects to the quiet streets north of it (Dwight St., Pleasant St., etc.), this contraflow lane is all that is needed to create a safe two-way route connecting the Boston University bridge area (access to Commonwealth Avenue, university areas, Cambridge and the Esplanade), as well as the dense Coolidge Corner/Northeast residential area, to the bike lanes on Harvard Street (leading toward the center of Coolidge Corner, the Pierce School, town center and the high school). (Turned down by Transportation Board, 2012.)
Greenough Street at the High School: Create a separate shared path between the benches along Greenough Street in front of the High School and Cypress Field that would allow cyclists to travel from Sumner Road onto Greenough Street at Davis. This would require ramps at each end of the path and signage to make path use clear to all. This allows cyclists access to bike racks at the high school as well as a direct route past the high school to Washington Street (and Washington Square) and Park Street (to Coolidge Corner).

*Grove Street: Bike lanes in both directions between Newton Street and Putterham Circle.

Grove Street and Allandale Road: Traffic signal should include a protected/dedicated left turn from Grove to Allandale. Vehicles coming inbound from Putterham Circle come very fast over a rise, making the left turn dangerous for both cyclists and vehicles.

*Hammond Street Greenway: Create a green street to enhance commuter and recreational cycling through South Brookline, connecting West Roxbury Parkway with Boylston and Beacon Streets. Use a road diet for Hammond between the rotary and Route 9 for one lane in each direction (except at Route 9 junction). Install median refuge and traffic signal at Woodland Road (currently in progress) to allow safe crossing and access to Woodland Road for pedestrians and cyclists; install additional crosswalks for safer pedestrian crossing at other points, e.g., near Dane Park and the Fire Station. Safety for pedestrians and bicyclists in this area will be of particular importance should plans for the Baldwin School expansion proceed. See full description in the appendices.

Hammond Pond Parkway multi-use path would provide Newton-West Roxbury connection and recreational access to Brookline parks and conservation areas. Install multi-use path along the entire length of the Parkway, with connection to Skyline Park. (MA Department of Conservation and Recreation project)

*Harvard Street: Incorporate recommendations of the “Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Assessments, Brookline, MA Harvard Street Corridor: Longwood Avenue to Shailer Street” (see appendices.) This report was prepared through the cooperation of the Massachusetts Department of Transportation, Walk Boston and Mass Bike. Its goal was to study this section of Coolidge Corner and make recommendations to improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety and convenience. Consider implementing some of the recommendations on a short-term trial basis, to quickly evaluate their effects. Additional engineering variations could be considered in this way, such as prohibiting all left turns at the Longwood Avenue intersection to make it safer by simplifying the traffic pattern.

*Harvard Street: Upgrade bicycle facilities and make them continuous for the length of Harvard Street through Brookline to protect cyclists better from moving motor vehicles and the door zone of parked cars. See appendices for detailed recommendations on possible bicycle accommodation improvements on Harvard Street.

Harvard Street at Babcock Street: The left turn signal from Harvard Street southbound to Babcock Street should be triggered by a bicycle.

*Heath Street passing restrictions: Heath Street runs east/west roughly parallel to Route 9 from the Brookline Reservoir to the Newton border. As such, it's a very attractive route for cyclists commuting, seeking recreation, or shopping. Heath Street's width makes passing impossible without crossing substantially into oncoming traffic or without coming dangerously close to cyclists. This project calls for signage to prohibit motorists from passing bicycles between Warren and Hammond, along with sharrows and traffic calming to slow vehicular speed.
Horace James Circle: Redesign this circle when Hammond Street Greenway or Newton Street Greenway is implemented, to provide safe passage in all directions for people on bicycles. In the short term, provide a green carpet bike lane around the entire circle to the right of the motor traffic lane, and provide signs for motor vehicles to yield to bicycles. On all approaches where bike lanes or wide shoulders are dropped, mark the merger with stripes, green paint, bike symbols, and signs for motor vehicles to yield to bikes.

Independence Drive: Bike lanes in both directions between Putterham Circle and Town line to improve safe access to Baker School.

Lagrange Street, Horace James Circle to Town Line: Lagrange Street is wide with room for buffered bike lanes in both directions. Cycling facilities within the Circle should be improved at the same time.

*Lee/Clyde segment of South Brookline Greenway:* A major project could create a “greenway” by moving motorized traffic to the west side (one lane in each direction) and constructing a mixed-use path and service road on the east side. In the short-term improve bike facilities as described in section 6. Reduce the motor traffic lane width and use the freed-up width to buffer the existing bike lanes; where the bike lane is dropped as Clyde approaches Newton, mark the merger with stripes, green paint, bike symbols, and signs for motor vehicles to yield to bikes.

Longwood Avenue at Chapel Street: Enhance the bike lane toward the Medical Area through the Chapel Street intersection to the bridge across the Muddy River by narrowing the motor traffic lanes and separating them from the bike lane with a buffer zone and flex posts or other barriers to prevent cars from using the bike lane as they queue up waiting for the Riverway light to turn green. Buffer the bike lane along the entire length of Longwood where feasible. Maintain sharrows from Chapel Street westbound (toward Coolidge Corner).

Longwood—left turn onto Chapel Street: The left turn from Longwood Avenue southbound onto Chapel Street (toward the Longwood T-stop, the ramp to the Muddy River path and Longwood Towers) is both heavily used and difficult for both cyclists and motorists because traffic moves steadily on Longwood from the Longwood Medical Area. Although there is a light at this intersection, left turning traffic has no opportunity for a protected turn. Cyclists waiting to turn have moving traffic on both sides of them. It would be beneficial to have an option to allow bicycles and vehicles queued at the light to turn left without oncoming traffic at the beginning of the light cycle. Install don’t block the box street markings in the intersection.

Middlesex Road bike lanes: Middlesex Road is an important link for travel to and from the Chestnut Hill commercial district. This plan has three essential parts. First, it calls for a contraflow lane from Circuit Road to Reservoir Road. Second, it calls for bicycle priority lanes between Hammond Street and Circuit Road. Third, it calls for a curb ramp to access the Reservoir Road Bridge from the reservoir side over the D line, which is in Boston.

Monmouth Street: Move parking to north side of street and install contraflow bike lane from Hawes St. to St. Mary’s Street.

Netherlands Road: Provide safe passage from Parkway contraflow lane to Muddy River Path.

*Newton Street, Goddard Circle to Town line:* Install bike lanes in both directions on this wide street with fast moving traffic to connect to the Newton Street entrance to Larz Anderson Park and to Boston (Jamaica Pond area).
*Newton Street Greenway* will improve conditions for commuter and recreational riders traversing South Brookline around the Country Club and Putterham Golf Course between Brookline, Newton and West Roxbury. Install roadside bike paths on both sides of Newton Street from Clyde/Newton intersection to West Roxbury Parkway. Provide safe crossing of Newton Street at South Street with either a median refuge for cyclists and pedestrians and/or traffic signal to allow safe access to Putterham Circle, Independence Drive and VFW Parkway. Install bike lanes (preferably protected bike lanes) in both directions between Clyde Street and Putterham Circle. Along Putterham Golf Course, a major project would create a “greenway” by moving motorized traffic to the west side of this separated parkway (one lane in each direction) and constructing a mixed-use path and service road on the east side. Intersections will need to be redesigned.

In the short-term improve bike facilities as described in section 6: Reduce the motor traffic lane width and use the freed-up width to enhance the bike facilities Provide bike lanes between Clyde and Grove. Where the bike lanes are dropped as Newton approaches Grove from both directions, mark the merger with stripes, green paint, bike symbols, and signs for motor vehicles to yield to bikes. On Newton between Grove and West Roxbury Parkway, provide bike lanes westbound and provide bike lane or wider shoulders eastbound. On Newton between West Roxbury Parkway and Horace James Circle, provide bike lanes.

*Park Street*: Bike priority lanes north and south on Park Street between Marion and Washington. Park Street connects North Brookline to Brookline High School, the Kirrane Aquatic Center and the rest of the Brookline Hills area and is a quieter alternative to cycling on Harvard Street.

**Pearl St and River Road/Emerald Necklace Path**: Provide a safe crossing for cyclists and pedestrians from Pearl St. at Brookline Avenue to the Emerald Necklace Path between the Muddy River and River Road.

**Pleasant Street** is shown as a “connector route” for the network. For the present, this seems sufficient EXCEPT for the last block from John Street to Beacon Street where bicycle markings would help clarify road use for both bicyclists and motorists as they approach this difficult Coolidge Corner intersection.

**Pleasant Street** is about 25 feet wide, has parking on the east side, and two-way traffic for most of its length. Between Beacon and James Streets, Pleasant is about 30 feet wide and has parking on both sides between Beacon and John Streets. Riding southbound (against the curb) is reasonably comfortable, but riding northbound, cyclists are in the door zone or in traffic; sharrows should be added.

**Route 9 Crossing at Chestnut Hill Avenue**: Improve bicycle use and safety in this intersection by adding lanes connecting Chestnut Hill Avenue, Heath Street, and Lee Street.

**Route 9 north side from Town Line to Brookline Avenue**: See Gateway East above.

*Route 9 cycle tracks from Washington Street to Pond Ave*: See Gateway East above.

**Route 9, Washington/High Street intersection to Cypress and beyond**: Continue the cycle tracks planned for Gateway East (as described above) to facilitate safe bicycle travel along the developing corridor and beyond toward Chestnut Hill.

**Route 9 grade-separated crossing for Muddy River Path**: Even with an at-grade crossing of Route 9 for the Muddy River path, a grade-separated crossing using the existing highway bridge is a useful option to consider. See appendices.
Sewall Avenue: Provide contraflow lane from Charles St. to Longwood Avenue for cyclists to access businesses without using Beacon St.

Station Street: Replace cobblestone crosswalks, which are a hazard to cyclists, with alternate crosswalk treatment, preferably raised crosswalks.

Stedman Street: Provide a safe contraflow from Harvard Street towards Gibbs Street, taking into account the entire right of way width. This might be accomplished by a protected contraflow bicycle track, by a multi-use path for both cyclists and pedestrians or some other means. This is important for the safety of students using bicycles to travel to and from school because without a safe way of moving from the school into the neighborhood to the north and east, they would be required to bike to Harvard Street and interact with the busy traffic there.

Stedman Street at Harvard Street: Traffic signal should be triggered by a bicycle.

Summer at Route 9: Traffic signal should be triggered by a bicycle.

Tappan Street at Cypress Street: Traffic signal for turns from Tappan Street onto Cypress Street should be triggered by a bicycle.

Tappan Street from Greenough Street to Cypress Street: Install bike lane to improve safety for cyclists around the High School.

Tappan Street from Greenough Street to Beacon Street: Tappan St has two sections with poor sight lines on the inside of blind curves: from Blake Rd to Gardner Rd and from approximately 260 Tappan St to Garrison Rd. The dangerous sections are only in the northwest travel direction. There may not be enough road width to have a dedicated bike lane, but traffic calming measures could be considered on these sections such as extending the use of narrow travel lanes demarcated by solid double yellow lines.

Walnut Street safety improvements: Install additional but gentler speed humps or raised crosswalks along Walnut Street to slow vehicular traffic. Install bike priority lane markings.

Washington Street is a major artery in Brookline. It connects the Driscoll School, the Pierce School, the Main Branch Library, the Town Hall, the Public Safety building, two commercial districts, and is immediately connected to Brookline High School via the recently improved Greenough St. It intersects both the C Line and the D Line and is served by the Route 65 bus. As a result, it has considerable use by motorists, public transit users, bicyclists, and pedestrians. This project would implement a complete streets treatment of the entire length of Washington Street between the north town line and Route 9. See full description in the appendices.

West Roxbury Parkway: Install bike lanes in both directions on this wide road, which will require restricting parking in what is now a very wide shoulder. (Controlled by Department of Conservation and Recreation)

Westbourne Terrace: Install contraflow lane from Bartlett Street to Beacon Street to improve access to Driscoll School. Consider safe ways to access school grounds—perhaps with shared use paths around the school grounds themselves and safe areas for bicycle parking.

Williams and Centre Street: Change signalized intersection to stop-controlled intersection.

Willow Pond Road: Bicycle accommodations should be provided to make a safe connection through Olmsted Park, connecting bike paths on the two sides of the park. (Would require cooperation with
Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation and with Boston because the road crosses the town border.)

**Woodland Road:** From Heath Street (near Hammond Pond Parkway) to Hammond Street, Woodland Road is a fairly wide, relatively quiet residential street without curbs or consistent sidewalks; there are large trees right at the road’s edge on both sides for its whole length. It probably does not warrant bike lanes, except as it approaches the intersection with Hammond Street, where a demarcated lane might help with the approach to a difficult intersection. This section might be a candidate for traffic calming to slow cut-through motor traffic. From Hammond Street to Heath Street (near Pine Manor College), Woodland is a one-way street that is a highly used motor vehicle cut through. The feasibility of installing a bike lane should be explored, along with traffic patterns and safety around the possible Baldwin School project.
8. Projects Approved/Awaiting Funding

Freeman Street at Browne Street: Eliminate parking for 30’ westbound before crosswalk. Restripe the center line from the triangular park at Babcock to Pleasant Street for a westbound 17-foot travel/parking lane, and a 10-foot eastbound travel lane with a striped 3-foot shoulder. Approved by Transportation Board, October 2017

Ivy Street: Extend contraflow lane to the block between Carlton Street and St. Mary’s Street. This extends a connection on quiet neighborhood streets to and from Audubon Circle, Beacon Street and the Fenway area. Approved by Transportation Board, November 2018.

Naples Road: Bike lane on north side but without a double yellow center line; absence of a center line has been shown to slow traffic. Approved by Transportation Board, March 2016

St. Mary’s Street (Beacon Street-Mountfort Street): Sharrows in both directions. Approved by Transportation Board, November 2018.
9. Projects Awaiting Construction

**Babcock Street**: Southbound (from Commonwealth Avenue to Harvard Street): on-street bike lane for full length of the street, with painted buffer and green lane and bike boxes to facilitate turns at Harvard Street; sharrow in northbound direction. Pedestrian improvements include crosswalks at all intersections and bulbouts at some intersections. *In progress, 2018-19.*

**Beacon Street outbound from Marion St. to Westbourne Terrace**: Buffered bike lane installed 11/14/16 for a one-year trial. Approved for permanent installation. *Scheduled for 2018-19.*

**Carlton St. Footbridge**: Repair and reopening of the Carlton St. Footbridge to provide a direct connection for cyclists and pedestrians between the Muddy River Path and Carlton St., including a safe crossing of Carlton St. at the footbridge site. We request the installation of bike channels on each side of the stairs at each end of the bridge to make it easier for bicyclists who would prefer to use the stairs instead of the accessibility ramps. This would allow them to shorten their crossing by 315 feet. The channel installation should be designed and supervised by an expert in bicycle facility design, to avoid the inadvertent installation of channels that don’t work effectively. The bike channel itself should have a bike symbol in the channel trough at the beginning of the bike channel, making the purpose of the channel obvious. *(Being prepared for bid by MassDOT, 2019)*

**Essex Street crossing Dummer Street** leads to the new and heavily used contraflow lane on Essex going through the Cottage Farm area. Despite signage, motorists are not aware that cyclists can go straight across Dummer, whereas motor traffic must turn right. Painting a green lane across the Dummer intersection and/or installing a raised intersection should help alert motorists to crossing bike traffic. *Approved for FY 2019*

**Gateway East** is the area surrounding the intersection of Washington Street and Route 9. This is a Massachusetts Department of Transportation Project approaching full design in 2017 and includes cycle tracks in both directions from the Washington-High Street intersection to the Boston border. Walnut Street will be realigned to Pearl Street, with a traffic signal to provide bicycle and pedestrians crossing of Route 9.  

**Park Street westbound, Harvard Ave to Washington St**: No parking, 7:00-8:30 AM. *Approved by Transportation Board, November 2018.*

**Pearl Street**: Install raised cycle track from Brookline Avenue to raised crossing at the Brookline Village T-stop; install buffered bike lane from the raised crossing to Brookline Avenue. Sharrow in both directions between the T-stop and Washington Street. *Approved by Transportation Board, July 2017*

**St. Mary’s Street**: Stripe and sign for a contraflow lane for bicycles from Mountfort Street to Commonwealth Ave. upon completion of St. Mary’s Street bridge project. MassDOT has included with flow and contraflow bike lane striping on the St. Mary’s Street bridge. *Pending construction by Mass DOT*

**Walnut/Juniper Streets** as reconfigured at Route 9 should include bicycle lanes in both directions. *(Part of Gateway East Project)*

**Winchester Street**: Install bike lane from Town line to Beacon (west side of street); reconfigure speed humps to be more gentle. Install crosswalks at Williams and Wellman; remove 6-8’ of parking at Fuller and Williams to improve sightlines. Establish 20 mph safety zone in both directions around Senior Center. *In progress, 2018-19*
10. Completed Projects

The following projects have been completed. As conditions change and engineering practice advances, additional improvements will be warranted for many Brookline streets. For example, consideration can be given to replacing bicycle lanes with cycle tracks or protected lanes.


Beacon Street extension of bike lane inbound and bike box at Webster for left turns to Centre St. Completed Fall 2015

Beacon Street priority lanes: Bicycle lanes are not continuous along the entire length of Beacon Street within Brookline, and this creates a serious hazard for cyclists and uncertainty among cyclists and motorists on sharing the road. Bicycle priority lanes provide a minimal connection of the bike lane segments. (Approved by Transportation Board, January 3, 2013; funding approved for 2014 construction; completed summer 2015)

Brookline Avenue Path Repairs, Ramps to Street at Aspinwall and signalized crosswalks: (Department of Conservation and Recreation, Completed 2018)

Centre Street bike and priority lanes: Approved by Transportation Board, March 2017; completed Summer 2018

Centre Street at Beacon: Traffic signal to be triggered by cyclists. Approved by Transportation Board, March 2017; completed 2018

Chapel/Colchester/Carlton/Ivy/Essex Street bike lanes: Completed, Summer 2013

Chapel Street at Longwood: Bike box for cyclists’ left turns from Chapel Street to Longwood Avenue; camera-activated turn signal. Completed, 2014.

Clark Road bicycle priority lanes: Marked for bicycle priority lanes in both directions.

Clyde Street at Lee Street: Intersection narrowed; dedicated, protected slip lane for cyclists turning right where Clyde turns south. Completed Spring 2017

Cypress Street bike lanes: South Cypress from Paul Pender Circle along Chestnut, High and Cypress to Boylston Street Completed, Summer 2013 North Cypress Street from Boylston Street to Washington Street Completed Summer 2014.

Dudley Street: Contraflow lane along the south side of the Brookline Reservoir (Lee St. to Warren St.). Completed, Summer 2013

Essex to Commonwealth connector crosses traffic island to facilitate access to BU Bridge. Completed, Summer 2013

Goddard Avenue from Newton Street to Avon Street: Bike lanes and sharrow. Approved by Transportation Board, December 2014; completed fall 2015

Greenough Street: “Except bicycles” sign added to the restriction on Greenough St. in front of Brookline High School.
Greenough Street between Washington Street and Lowell Street: Sharrows; curb extension. Approved by Transportation Board, June 2015; completed Spring 2017

Harvard Street bike lanes/sharrows (School to Beacon) Completed, Summer 2013

Harvard Street at Washington (Brookline Village): “Except bicycles” sign added to the “no right turn” restriction.

Longwood Avenue bicycle lane eastbound, bicycle priority lane westbound. Completed 2010 and 2013

Netherlands Road contraflow lane from Parkway to Aspinwall Completed 2009

Newton Street from Clyde to Goddard Avenue: Bike lanes, including bollards along the south side and a bike box at Goddard. Approved by Transportation Board, December 2014; completed Fall 2015

Newton Street between Horace James Circle and Town line: Bike lanes. Approved by Transportation Board, June 2015; completed Spring 2017

Park Street contraflow from Marion Street to Beacon Street Completed, Summer 2013

Parkway Road contraflow lane from Brookline Avenue to Netherlands Road Completed 2009

River Road/Muddy River Path: Muddy River path paved along River Completed, fall 2016

Route 9 Crossing for Muddy River Path (at grade): Curbs reconfigured, median widened, traffic signal installed. Completed Fall 2016

School Street repaved from the public parking lot to Washington St. to remove dangerous hump. Completed 2012

School Street bike/priority lanes from Washington Street to Harvard Street. Completed Summer 2014

St. Paul Street from Aspinwall Avenue to Beacon Street: Bike lane and sharrows. Completed 2014.

St. Paul Street from Beacon Street to Commonwealth Avenue: Bike lane and sharrows. Approved by the Transportation Board, December 2014; completed Fall 2015

St. Paul and Beacon Street Intersection: “Except bikes” signs added to the “No turn on red” signs.

Sumner Road from Buckminster to Route 9: Bike lane and shared vehicular right turn with through bike lane at Route 9. Completed 2014.

Washington Street bike lanes and sharrows from Davis to School and Cypress Streets. Completed 2010

Washington Street: Bicycle lanes and sharrows from School and Cypress Streets to the town line. Completed Summer 2013
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### Appendix C

**GENERAL SIZE AND COST ESTIMATES FOR GREEN ROUTES BICYCLE PROJECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category/Project</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s-25-50K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-50-250K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l-250K-2M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xl-2M+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Muddy River Route—Reconnect all sections of the Emerald Necklace in Brookline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Brookline Avenue crossing for Muddy River Path at Parkway Road requiring new signals, signal synchronization, curb extension, curb ramps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Route 9 grade-separated crossing for Muddy River Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Brookline Avenue Path repairs on section running parallel to Brookline Avenue (DCR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>Coolidge Corner and Harvard Street—Safety Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Short-term Bicycle improvements between Shailer and Longwood as recommended in MassDOT study, plus improvements along entire length of Harvard as identified in Green Routes Plan: continuous 5-ft lanes, left turn queue boxes, advance stop areas, turning and intersection treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Long-term improvement recommended in MassDOT study: continuous separated bike lanes between Shailer and Longwood X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>Bike lanes on Winchester, Centre and Park Streets for bicyclists wanting to bypass Coolidge Corner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>Beacon Street—Safety Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Rush Hour Bicycle Lane inbound from Charles Street to St. Mary’s Street, and elsewhere along Beacon, via parking restrictions, signage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Safe passage outbound through Coolidge Corner from Pleasant Street to Marion Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>Bike-activation of in-bound U-turn signal at Charles Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d</td>
<td>Improve outbound transition from the end of the bike lane near Westbourne Terrace to Washington Street intersection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>Washington Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>Continuous bike lanes from Beacon Street to Harvard Street in both directions, with protected cycle track in at least one direction and safety improvements at all T-intersections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>Station Street to Route 9: Install bike lanes or protected bike lanes on east side to connect with bicycle accommodations at Gateway East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>Southbound protected left turn to Station Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>Greenways in South Brookline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>Provide a bike-safe greenway along Lee and Clyde Streets between Route 9 and Newton Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category/Project</td>
<td>Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s-25-50K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-50-250K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l-250K-2M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xl-2M+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b Bike lanes in both directions on Newton Street between Goddard Circle and</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookline/Boston border</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c Provide a bike-safe greenway along Newton Street between Clyde/Newton</td>
<td>X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intersection and West Roxbury Parkway, as well as West Roxbury Parkway and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond Pond Parkway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d Bike lanes in both directions along Hammond Street between Beacon Street and</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 9.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e Provide a bike-safe greenway along Hammond Street between Route 9 and</td>
<td>X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horace James Circle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5f Provide a bike-safe greenway between Horace James Circle and Newton</td>
<td>X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street/West Roxbury Parkway merge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Bicycle Parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a Add bicycle parking throughout town as specifically identified in Green</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routes Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Route 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a From east Town Line to Brookline Avenue provide safe pedestrian and off</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>road cycle track to connect Muddy River path to Brookline Avenue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b Provide cycle tracks (protected bike lanes) at sidewalk level, one on each</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side of Route 9, between Pond Ave and Washington Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c Provide cycle tracks (protected bike lanes) at sidewalk level, one on each</td>
<td>Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side of Route 9, between Washington Street and Cypress Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7d Provide cycle tracks (protected bike lanes), one on each side of Route 9,</td>
<td>X-Large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between Cypress Street and west town line in Chestnut Hill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7e Route 9 crossing at Chestnut Hill Avenue: add lanes connecting Chestnut</td>
<td>Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Avenue, Heath Street, and Lee Street.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D
DETAILED RECOMMENDATIONS FOR BICYCLE ACCOMMODATIONS (BY STREET)

Beacon Street

Beacon Street outbound from Boston City line to Harvard Street: Upgrade bicycle facilities to protect cyclists better from moving motor vehicles and the door zone of parked cars. Install a curb-side bicycle lane protected from moving motor vehicles by the parking lane and separated from the parking lane by a three-foot buffer. This would require the following adjustments: (1) Narrow the motor travel lanes to 10 or perhaps 10.5 feet; (2) remove the three foot rumble strip and shift the two motor vehicle lanes to the left; (3) remove parking on the intersection approaches where left-turn lanes exist, and on the stretch between Pleasant and Harvard. Additionally, convert 4-6 parking spaces between St. Mary’s and Carlton to very short-term loading and unloading zones, since illegal double standing occurs frequently on that stretch.

Cypress and Washington, near CVS

- Extend southbound bike lane toward Washington, to the CVS entrance. It’s wide enough there to begin with a buffered bike lane.
- “Bend” the double yellow line before Searle so it better aligns with the double yellow after Searle.

Harvard Street

- 220 Washington: Change curb in no parking zone from gentle triangle to sharp S curve to discourage illegal parking that obstructs the bike lane with rear left portion of car.

- Washington and Harvard: Install a dashed bike lane through the intersection northbound. The geometry and desire line pulls autos into the bike lane.

- Washington and Andem: Narrow northbound rumble from right side so that northbound autos don’t drift rightward into the bike lane to avoid strip, both before and after Andem Pl.

- 39 Harvard St: Paint a solid white bike lane line, not dashed. These may former bus stop locations in need of design update.

- 77 Harvard St: The northbound bike lane disappears with the addition of a left turn only lane. It’s possible that combining the south bound bike and bus lane could create enough space for a northbound bike lane when combined with removal of several parking spaces on the southbound side.

- 100 Harvard St: Existing south bound bike lane paint worn down. Bicycle rider and arrow stencil remain. Need repaint, preferably solid to dashed.

- South bound just after Harvard Ave: Bike lane disappears; eliminate 2-4 parking spots to continue bike lane.

- Harvard St at Stop & Shop: Paint dashed line across north bound intersection (it is a signal controlled intersection).

- Harvard St at United Parish Church: South bound bike lane should have solid white line before crosswalk. This may be a former bus stop location.
- 251 Harvard St: Paint solid white line on both sides of bike lane all the way to crosswalk. Dashed insufficient.

- Harvard St at Beacon St: Consider installing paint to help organize bicycles. Perhaps a bike box. Perhaps arrows and language that indicate the left lane for turning left onto Longwood, right lane for straight movement. Again, this is for bicyclists turning left onto Longwood.

- 281 Harvard St: Install 9-month delineator posts to protect bike lane.

- Harvard and Green Street: Install a loading zone on Green St to provide a safe and legal loading zone to replace unsafe, illegal use of Harvard St.


- 313A Harvard St: North bound bike lane lost to right turn lane due to painted yellow wedge in middle of road. Eliminating or reducing the north bound bulb out would allow for bike lanes in both directions, as would some “wiggle” of the double yellow lines at the Beacon St intersection. Alternatively, eliminating the north bound right hand turn lane would provide adequate space.

- Harvard St Arcade Building: Southbound bike lane should have solid white lane through intersection. Because it is a T intersection and autos can never travel through bike lane to turn, drive, or park, the lane should be solid all the way through.

- 315 Harvard St: North bound should have painted a solid white line, not dashed. South bound should have a painted solid white line, not dashed.

- 322 Harvard St: Southbound bikes should have a left turn bike box to turn left onto Babcock St. Could extend left turn queue backward with slight repaint of double yellow. Add left turn sharrows in left turn queue lane.

- Harvard St Devotion School: Northbound bike lane disappears. Perhaps move red brick curb and hydrant inward, remove Stedman Street bulb out. Move handicap parking spot elsewhere. Could achieve bike lane continuity by just moving the handicap spot and shave down the Stedman Street bulb out. Would have to realign crosswalk.

- 369 Harvard St: Painted yellow wedge far too wide. The north bound bike lane could be preserved on this side of Stedman Street, perhaps requiring bulb out removal/shave and elimination of one parking space.

- Harvard St Kehillath Israel: Paint solid white line, not dashed. This may be a former bus stop location.

- Harvard St at Beals: Bike lane north bound near intersection should be solid, not dashed. South bound should be continuous solid.

- 405 Harvard St: No north bound bike lane between Naples and Fuller. Would have to eliminate a parking lane or north bound left turn lane.
- Harvard St and Fuller St: Consider removing left turn lane from Harvard north bound to Fuller. If it can be eliminated, there becomes room for bike lanes on both sides of intersection. Could use a leading green in one direction (with green arrow) to clear left turning vehicles.

- Harvard St between Fuller St and Coolidge St: If left hand turn lanes at Fuller can’t be removed, consider eliminating the north bound bike lane and installing a south bound bike lane. The south bound direction is much more continuous than the north bound; performing this change would preserve a nearly-continuous southbound lane.

- Harvard St and Verndale: Paint a solid white line north bound before Verndale stop bar, not dashed. This may be a former bus stop location. Install dashed white lines through Verndale signalized intersection in both directions. Shave south bound bulb outs both before and after Verndale St to allow for full bike lane width across intersection.

- Harvard St, Boston: All long white lines have been lost. Repaint.

**Route 9 grade-separated crossing for Muddy River Path**

Even with an at-grade crossing of Route 9 for the Muddy River path, a grade-separated crossing using the existing highway bridge is a useful option to consider. Project elements include: (a) Re-deck the existing highway bridge carrying the Riverway/Jamaicaway over Route 9, shifting travel lanes in order to create a barrier-separated two-way shared-use path along the western edge of the bridge. (b) South of the bridge, regrade the southwest island and build a path that winds down from the bridge to the existing crosswalk that crosses the on-off ramp and leads to the existing paths around Leverett Pond. (c) Reconfigure the junction of River Rd with Riverway, closing the off-ramp (creating more green space) and having the on-ramp meet Riverway at 90 degrees. (d) North of the bridge, this path will have no direct access to Route 9. Continue it from the bridge as a barrier-separated path within space now occupied by the highway, curving it away from the highway onto the existing bridge over the Muddy River, and then curving it back again to cross the on-ramp from River Road to Riverway. A Northeastern University senior design project details the design and shows it to be feasible and cost-effective. There is a $600,000 federal earmark for this path crossing. Various parts of this route are controlled by different governmental entities, and cooperation would be necessary to plan and construct this project. This link is also very important to Boston’s bicycle program.

**Washington Street**

The section of Washington Street northwest of Beacon Street appears to be in the best condition for bicyclists and pedestrians, although the preservation of parking and a turn lane results in no westbound lane for the ~200 feet west of Beacon, and no eastbound bicycle lane at all, merely sharrows. A complete streets approach to Washington Street would include continuous bike lanes in both directions, at a minimum, on this portion. It would also maintain safe crossings at Beacon, near Driscoll School, and elsewhere as needed, with curb bulb-out adjustments to eliminate the hazard to bicyclists. A review of bus station accommodations is also appropriate.

The section between Beacon Street and Cypress Street is in poor condition. The pavement is poor, the bicycle conditions sporadic, some crosswalks have poor sight lines, and there are no bus station accommodations. The crosswalk along Washington Street crossing the one-way Weybridge Rd is too long, on the order of 100 feet, and should be shortened considerably. The bicycle lane heading northwest-bound (uphill) is frequently obstructed by parked cars when it is curbside, and the southeast-bound
bicycle lane (downhill) is nonexistent in portions where preserving parking in front of homes was given priority. A recent project to improve Greenough Street resulted in a better unsignalized pedestrian crossing at Greenough and Beacon. A complete streets treatment of this section would include continuous bicycle lanes (or protected bicycle lanes, or cycle tracks) in both directions, from Beacon Street all the way to Cypress Street. It would calm traffic between Park Street and Beacon Street, improve crosswalks, and provide bus stop accommodations.

A consistent treatment along the entire section between the northern town border and Cypress Street is very desirable, and should be possible. Washington Street has a few different widths: 40’ near Brookline Village, 43.5’ nearer Washington Square, 41.5’ north of Beacon. One possible approach would entail the following:

1. Northwest of Cypress on the 40’ stretch:
   a. Remove parking in the southeast-bound direction
   b. 5’ bike lane northwest-bound
   c. 3’ buffer
   d. 7’ parking lane
   e. 10’ northwest-bound motor vehicle lane
   f. 10’ southeast-bound motor vehicle lane
   g. 5’ unprotected bike lane southeast-bound

2. On the 41.5’ stretch, add a 1.5’ buffer between the southeast-bound bike lane and the travel lane

3. On the 43.5’ stretch, increase the 1.5’ southeast-bound bike buffer to 2’ and add a 1.5’ buffer between parking lane and northwest-bound travel lane.

4. Eliminate or convert bulb-outs on northwest-bound side to floating islands so bike lane can go straight through, and remove bulb-outs on southeast-bound side. The pedestrian crossing distance would remain about the same with this approach.

5. At Beacon Street intersection, the southeast-bound bike lane and the right turn lane might need to coincide.

6. Review the Washington Street and Gardner Road intersection configuration. As designed, it is difficult for pedestrians crossing from the southeast-bound side of the street to the northwest-bound side to see traffic traveling in the southeasterly direction. Similarly, it is difficult for cyclists and motorists turning from Gardner Road to Washington Street to see southeast-bound traffic. This reconfiguration may require a slight relocation of the Route 65 bus stop in the northwest-bound direction.

7. Reconfigure the curbs at the corner or corners of Washington Street and Weybridge Road so that the outlet onto Washington is farther from the Park Street intersection and safer for pedestrians walking along Washington Street.

The portion of Washington Street between Cypress/School and Route 9 has well marked crosswalks, although crossing both at Harvard Street and at Station Street present challenges for pedestrians. This portion contains a bicycle lane on the northwest-bound direction, and on the southeast-bound portion between Harvard Street and Route 9. Although there are not frequently observed obstructions on the portion between School and Harvard Streets, there are obstructions due to poorly or illegally parked cars on the bike lanes between Harvard Street and Route 9. Due to the interest in preserving parking on both sides of the street, sharrows exist for a portion of the southeast-bound direction. This portion of Washington Street contains both Route 65 and, in some places, Route 66 bus lines, but also lacks accommodations for passengers waiting for bus arrival. Implementing a complete streets treatment will be a challenge in this section of Washington Street, as the right of way simply doesn't allow for two motor vehicle travel lanes, two bicycle lanes, two parking lanes, and two sidewalks. However, in order to achieve a continuous network of bicycle friendly streets, a serious complete streets effort is needed. For starters, a few minor adjustments that would improve this section for bicyclists include the following:
1. At 220 Washington, change curb in no parking zone from gentle triangle to sharp S curve to discourage illegal parking that obstructs the bike lane with rear left portion of car.
2. At Washington and Harvard, Install a dashed bike lane through the intersection northbound. The geometry and desire line pulls autos into the bike lane.
3. At Washington and Andem Place, narrow the northbound rumble strip from right side so that northbound autos don’t drift rightward into the bike lane to avoid strip, both before and after Andem Place.
4. Establish a 20mph Safety Zone along this section.
5. On Washington Street southbound, provide a protected left turn onto Station Street: This left turn is both heavily used and difficult for both cyclists and motorists because traffic on Washington Street northbound crests a hill while coming around a bend. Although there is a light at this intersection, left turning traffic cannot see when the northbound traffic has a red and when it is therefore safe to turn. A protected left turn would increase safety at this busy intersection that provides access to the Brookline Village T Station (with its Hubway station), to Kent Street, Aspinwall and beyond.
Corridor-wide Recommendations

Short-term Recommendations:
1. Conduct a traffic analysis of the corridor and surrounding street network for potential mitigation of traffic flow along the corridor.
2. Reduce pedestrian tripping hazards by replacing sidewalk panels and further implementing the Town’s pervious tree grate program.
3. Develop strategies to improve the quality and health of street trees, such as installation of permeable pavement.
4. Identify citizen or business sponsors to install, program, and maintain parklets in high pedestrian area.
5. Add bicycle left-turn queue boxes, where feasible.
6. Modify the “WALK YOUR BIKE” signs or rotate the signs to clarify the circumstances in which bicyclists should walk their bikes.
7. Install additional bike parking or on-street bike corrals in convenient and visible locations throughout the study area.
8. Install pedestrian countdown indications at signalized intersections.
9. Review or reevaluate far-side bus stops to replace existing near side bus stops.

Long-term Recommendations:
1. Provide continuous separated bike lanes or bike lanes throughout the study area by conducting further analysis on the potential to narrow travel lanes, remove travel lanes, or remove parking.
2. Further study feasibility of relocating on-street parking north of Beacon Street to Centre Street parking lot to provide wider sidewalks and high quality bicycle facilities.

3. Provide bicycle facilities on nearby Centre Street, Winchester Street, and Park Street which may provide an alternate route for bicyclists routing around Coolidge Corner.

4. Reconstruct curb ramps to meet accessibility standards.

5. Shorten crosswalks with curb extensions or pedestrian crossing islands where such treatments would not interfere with current or future bicycle facilities.
Intersection Recommendations

Harvard St at Longwood Ave

Short-term:
1. Further study the potential to signalize the intersection and coordinate with the intersection of Beacon Street.
2. Add ‘Don’t Block the Box’ markings and signs that conform to the latest version of the MUTCD.
3. Restrict left turns from Longwood Avenue onto Harvard Street southbound.
4. Further evaluate the intersection of Harvard Street/Sewall Avenue for an increase in left turning vehicles.
5. Install in-street warning signs on the Harvard Street and Longwood Avenue crosswalks.
6. Widen bicycle lane by reducing width of travel lanes and install flexposts.
7. Remove warning signs and replace with a bike lane sign.

Long-term:
A. Shorten crosswalks with curb extensions or pedestrian crossing islands where such treatments would not interfere with current or future bicycle facilities.
Harvard St at Beacon St

**Short-term:**

1. Reduce width of travel lanes to provide continuous bike lanes.
2. Reallocate the space for bicycle facilities for the Beacon Street westbound approach.
3. Remove the two existing small medians and reallocate space to other users.
4. Replace the existing crosswalks with high visibility ladder style crosswalks.
5. Further study the feasibility of providing one stage crossings across Beacon Street.
6. Extend leading pedestrian intervals.
7. Maximize pedestrian crossing times for all approaches.
8. Install bicycle box for the Harvard Street southbound approach to Beacon Street.
9. Install wayfinding signage on southbound Harvard Street north of Beacon Street to inform drivers of the need to position for a left turn onto Longwood Avenue prior to Beacon Street.
Harvard St at Green St

**Short-term:**

1. Evaluate adding contraflow bicycle lane on Green Street and potential intersection improvements at Harvard Street and Green Street.

**Long-term:**

A. Shorten crosswalks with curb extensions or pedestrian crossing islands where such treatments would not interfere with current or future bicycle facilities.

---

Harvard St at Babcock St

**Short-term:**

1. Adjust pavement markings to provide a minimum of a 5-foot bike lane by narrowing the travel or parking lane.
2. Modify signal to lagging left-turn instead of leading left turns to allow people waiting to cross first.

3. Close exit driveway from Centre Street parking lot or restrict parking by removing adjacent parking meters, adding no parking pavement markings, and adding no parking signs.

**Long-term:**

A. Coordinate intersection improvements with the selected Babcock Street alternative.
HAMMOND STREET CORRIDOR-
PUBLIC MEETING

SUMMARY & RECOMMENDATIONS

CREATED FOR:
The Brookline Bike Advisory Committee Town of Brookline, MA

CREATED BY:
The Emerald Network Initiative LivableStreets Alliance

September 2017
TABLE OF CONTENTS:

I. Project Introduction
   a) Hammond Street Greenway
   b) Greenway Partners Program
   c) Project process

II. Public Workshop
   a) Workshop Highlights
   b) Format

III. Community Input
   a) Workshop Themes
   b) Location Specific Comments

IV. Recommendations
   a) Corridor Recommendations
   b) Location specific recommendations

V. Appendices
   a) Workshop Sign-in sheet
   b) Street Audit
   c) Street Audit Results
   d) Personal testimony: Nia Negrán
I. PROJECT INTRODUCTION

**Hammond Street Greenway Project:**

Featuring dedicated bike lanes in the short term and separated walking and bicycle tracks in the long term, the proposed Hammond Street Greenway will enhance connectivity and mobility choices along a street dotted with parks, schools and single family homes.

---

**a) Greenway Partners Program:**

To support the completion of the 200-mile Emerald Network, the Greenway Partners Program selected 6 community partners to receive capacity-building aid in advancing proposed greenway projects.

Through the program, LivableStreets provides technical assistance to each of the six partners. The support is customized to fit the unique opportunities and challenges posed by each community, and includes a mix of encouraging community engagement, developing
design concepts, commissioning feasibility studies, hosting community workshops, and building public and political support. Read on below to learn more about each of our current partners.

b) Project process

The Brookline Bicycle Advisory Committee is responsible for advising the Brookline Transportation Board on making improvements to bicycling in the Town. In 2008, the Committee developed a Green Routes Bicycle Network Plan to serve as a guide to creating a safe bicycling network throughout the Town; the plan is revised annually to reflect progress made and to incorporate new ideas and advances in bicycle infrastructure design.

A greenway on Hammond Street has been part of the plan from the outset. With technical assistance and support from LivableStreets Alliance, the BAC undertook two outreach efforts to seek community input on this project: (1) An information table with maps and post-it notes at the annual Climate Week event allowed everyone who wished to make comments and suggestions on this and other possible improvements to cycling in Brookline to do so. (2) As described in this report, a community workshop was held to specifically engage the local community to identify problems and suggest ideas for improving Hammond Street for cyclists and pedestrians.

Possible bicycling accommodations will be discussed by the Bicycle Advisory Committee in its public meetings, and recommendations will be made for improvements to Hammond Street. Specific designs will be prepared by staff of the Transportation Department and reviewed by the Transportation Board at its public meetings. Once a plan is approved, funding will be sought through the Town’s Capital Improvement Projects budgeting process.
II. PUBLIC WORKSHOP

Venue:
Beaver Country Day School

Attendance:
Approximately 30 people participated.

a) Workshop Highlights:

- A walk along Hammond Street followed by a Street Audit (Appendix A). The results of the walking audit can be found in Appendix B.
- A personal testimony by Nia Negron for improvements to the corridor that would improve connectivity and safety for people on foot. A copy of Nia’s testimony is included in Appendix C.

b) Format:

- [Optional] Hammond Street Walk
- Introductions
- Project overview presentation
- Breakout group work
- Report back and closing remarks
III.  COMMUNITY INPUT

The input gathered from the community members in attendance is summarized below in two sections:

a) **Workshop Themes:** A list of overarching themes expressed throughout the workshop by the attendees.

b) **Location Specific Comments:** These comments are geographically tied along Hammond Street.

*a) Workshop Themes:*

Issues along Hammond Street:

- **Speeding** is an issue for the entirety of the corridor. Some tactics proposed to reduce speeds are:
  - Narrow lanes
  - Fewer lanes
  - Flexposts to mark bike lanes and tighten turning radius at key intersections.
  - Speed humps and tables at intersections
  - More trees
  - Bike paths
- **Sidewalks** are in a state of disrepair in several sections along the corridor and nonexistent on others.
- There is a lack of safe crossings at **intersections**.
  - Crosswalks are long in several locations because of wide turning radiiuses. For example at Hammond Street & Aston Road.
  - There are very few crosswalks to get from one side of Hammond Street to the other along the corridor.

*Solutions:*

- Reduced speeds
- Fewer lanes for cars
- Intersections can be improved for all modes by creating:
○ Raised crossings and speed tables to discourage speeding
○ Pedestrians islands/refuges for crossing
○ Crosswalks both along and across Hammond Street

● Continuous, improved, and well-maintained sidewalks on both sides to create safe pedestrian accommodations.
● More trees to narrow the perceived width of the street

\textit{b) Location Specific Comments}

Hammond Street and Woodland Rd:

● Problems with sightlines for people coming off Woodland Rd. It is unclear whether the proposed redesign will solve that.
● Speeding is an issue at the intersection.

\textit{Hammond Street and Pine:}

● There are no accommodations for crossing in front of the day care. This is an extremely unsafe place for people on foot.
● The Sidewalk is in poor condition between Pine Rd and Soule Recreation center.

\textit{Hammond Street and Hammond Pond Parkway:}

● The rotary is a major divider in the community.
● There are no crosswalks at any of the feeder roads, making it impossible to cross safely and lawfully.
● There is an existing traffic signal at the Brookline fire station but no crosswalk for pedestrians, even though people from the community could walk to it on voting days.
● Traffic queues up in the evening to Laurel Road. The intersection needs to be thought through for better flow.
IV. RECOMMENDATIONS:

a) **Corridor recommendations:**

- **Commitment to Vision Zero:** Speeding along the corridor was cited as a major issue by multiple workshop attendees. As cities in the region prioritize safety for all people on their streets, it is important for Brookline to respond with infrastructure improvements that fulfill Vision Zero. Hammond Street should be designed for a speed limit of 25 miles an hour that is self-enforcing. The attendees discussed several ways of achieving that, discussed in details below.

- **Road Diet:** A recent study conducted on one of the busiest intersections along the corridor, Hammond Street and Woodland Road revealed too much capacity on the roadway that could be narrowed to enhance safety for all users. In that vein, the desire for a road-diet was strongly expressed. Federal Highway Administration’s standard toolkit can be applied to the entire stretch of Hammond Street in Brookline to reduce the number of lanes from 4 to 3, with a two way turn lane in the middle (see diagram below). Additionally, FHWA, AASHTO and NACTO guidelines recommend lane widths of 10 - 11’ for safe driving speeds in urban areas. Narrowing the lanes creates a perception of constricted roadway among automobile drivers inducing slower speeds and safe driving habits.

![4 lane to 3 lane road diet](Source: Federal Highway Administration)
• **Remove Shoulders** and reallocate space for bicycle lanes and landscaping: AASHTO guidelines recommend no shoulders for streets designed for or below 35 miles/hour. Given the central location of the roadway in the community and a proposed design speed of 25 miles/hr, the shoulders of both sides of the streets should be reallocated to bicycle lanes and landscaping to support tree growth.

• **Typical Section** to accommodate street trees, enhanced sidewalks and bicycle infrastructure. The space attained/saved by removing a traffic lane and shoulders should be reallocated to better infrastructure for people on foot and bicycles wanting to travel to the various destinations along Hammond Street and beyond in a safe manner. See typical existing road section and proposed section below. One travel lane each direction with a center turn lane is recommended throughout the corridor except at the two ends of the street, the third lane would become a travel lane in the direction approaching the James rotary on one end and Route 9 on the other end.

![Hammond Street Existing Cross-section (Approximate)](image1)

![Hammond Street Proposed Cross-section](image2)
• **Connectivity** throughout the town should be at the forefront of each conversation, irrespective of the scope of one particular project. Workshop attendees often made references to destinations beyond Hammond Street demonstrating the need for connectivity and continuity in infrastructure for people walking and bicycling. People rarely use streets unless they are trying to get to some place, and it is important to the success of Hammond Street that the proposed improvements to the corridor don’t end at the project extremities.

• **Safe Intersections:** All intersections along Hammond Street are important for a variety of reasons. For example, the Soule Recreation center is a family destination, Woodland Street intersection is home to a Beaver Country Day School, and Pine Road, Glenoe Road, Laurel Road and other side streets are dotted with homes. Aligned with the road diet throughout the corridor, these intersections should be much safer for people walking and bicycling, and that can be achieved by doing the following:
  
  o Crosswalks: Standard crosswalks, curb cuts and yield signs to be added wherever missing.
  
  o Tighter Turning Radius: To improve sightlines and reduce speeds, all turning radiiuses should be made tighter. Additionally, tighter turning radiiuses bring people waiting at the corners visually closer to the driver making it easier to be spotted.

*Left: Elements of protected intersections (Source: MassDOT), Right: A tactical approach to narrowed intersections (Source: Austin, Texas)*
b) **Location Specific Recommendations:**

- **Soule Recreation @ Hammond Street:** A family friendly destination with playground, splash pad and athletic field, has three crossing treatments on Hammond Street. The multitude of etchings make it confusing for pedestrians and drivers alike, while doing little for the overall safety. A raised crossing is recommended for this location to make it legible for people crossing, a speed deterrent for those driving.

![Left: Existing Condition at Soule Recreation looking across Hammond Street (Source: Google Street View), Right: Example proposed raised intersection (Source: Federal Highway Administration)](image)

- **Hammond Street and Woodland Road:** The intersection improvement study completed by the Transportation Division of the Town of Brookline covers three alternatives including - unsignalized with road diet, signalized with road diet and a roundabout. We strongly recommend going forward with the unsignalized option for two reasons (see diagram on next page):
  - Participants shared concerns about the width of the road for people on foot, and we feel that the unsignalized option narrows the roadway enough to make it safer to walk.
  - Participants at the meeting shared one of their major concerns coming off Woodland Road as obstructed sightlines. Tightening of the intersection significantly by a bump out will bring pedestrians waiting at the corner into the vision cone of drivers.
  - A signalized intersection may be proposed as a last resort to assuage community concerns, however, the traffic experts associated with LivableStreets Advocacy Committee recommend otherwise.
Unsignallized Intersection with Road-diet (Source: Town of Brookline)

- **Gateways and wayfinding**: Faster speeds along the corridor and introverted design of various green spaces has led to many people in the town not making them a part of their daily journey on foot and bicycles. Wayfinding oriented more towards slower moving modes on the future greenway will have a significant effect on people’s level of comfort and thus increased foot traffic on the greenway. Additionally, Town of Brookline should consider gateway treatments for both extremities of the project within the town boundary as a part of wayfinding design to promote a sense of place and awareness of the surroundings.
V. APPENDICES

a) Workshop Sign-in Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jenice</td>
<td>Kahn</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jski514@aol.com">jski514@aol.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doris</td>
<td>Hanna</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dhanna@rcn.com">dhanna@rcn.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Vicars</td>
<td><a href="mailto:vicars@gansummer.com">vicars@gansummer.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubin</td>
<td>Pfeffer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rubinpfeiffer@gmail.com">rubinpfeiffer@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>George</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kay-george@rcn.com">kay-george@rcn.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regina</td>
<td>Frawley</td>
<td><a href="mailto:reginafrawley@yahoo.com">reginafrawley@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaak</td>
<td>Vilms</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ljvilms@comcast.net">ljvilms@comcast.net</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh</td>
<td>Joseph</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hjoseph@tufts.edu">hjoseph@tufts.edu</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td>676 Hammond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marian and</td>
<td>Jerry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blair</td>
<td>Hines</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bh@verdantla.com">bh@verdantla.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td>745 Heath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla</td>
<td>Beuka</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rcvben@earthlink.net">rcvben@earthlink.net</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td>25 Circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David</td>
<td>Kobes</td>
<td><a href="mailto:david2.kobes@gmail.com">david2.kobes@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td>629 Hammond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose</td>
<td>Negron</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jose.negron2004@gmail.com">jose.negron2004@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td>856 Hammond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane</td>
<td>Flanagan</td>
<td><a href="mailto:flanagig@bc.edu">flanagig@bc.edu</a></td>
<td>02467</td>
<td>854 Hammond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Street Audit

Street Audit

Date: ____________ Time of day: ____________

1. Information about you:
   Name (optional): ____________ Age: ____________
   Location(s) of observation: ____________

2. How did you traverse the area?
   O You walked
   O You biked

3. Overall experience
   Walking (if applicable):
   Biking (if applicable):

4. Level of perceived safety
   Along the corridor: ____________
   At intersection: ____________

5. The corridor serves the following modes adequately (Select all that apply)
   O People walking
   O People on bicycles
   O People in cars
   O Other (specify) ____________

6. Briefly describe your primary concerns for:
   A. People WALKING: ____________
   B. People in WHEELCHAIRS: ____________
   C. People pushing a STROLLER: ____________
   D. People on BICYCLES: ____________
   E. People driving an AUTOMOBILE: ____________

If you could change ONE thing about this section of the street, what would that be?

______________________________
c) Street Audit Results

Street Audit
Hammond Street, Brookline
Thursday, June 22, 2017, 6:00-6:30 PM

4 walkers, 1 cyclist (2 of the walkers who are also cyclists, evaluated from both perspectives)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall experience:</th>
<th>No. of responses</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walking:</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 (poor end of scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biking:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perceived safety:
- Corridor: 3 4.5
- Intersection: 4 4.5 Hammond at Woodland
- 1 3

Comments: Hammond and Woodland; Hammond and Pine: YIKES!

Adequate served:
- Walking: 2
- Biking: 1
- Driving: 3

Comments: Egress for abutters less than adequate

Concerns:
- Walking: Noise! Crossing streets! Sections without curbs seem extra dangerous
  ADA not fully adhered to at intersection; no blind pads
  Intersection safety; traffic noise
- Wheelchairs: Some (intersection safety; traffic noise)
  Intersection appears accessible for wheelchairs (Woodland)
  Sidewalk OK for most part; tree roots pushing up in places
  Cars don’t wait for 90-year-old in wheelchair. Also met dog at risk in crosswalk
- Strollers: Sidewalk seems OK
- Same (intersection safety; traffic noise)
- Bicycles: Traffic speed makes it dangerous
  Speed is counter-intuitive to bike comfort
  No shoulder; fast cars’ poor surface condition
  Sharing road with speeding cars; rough road
- Automobiles: Speed and passing cars; turning from Hammond onto Woodland;
  entering Hammond from Woodland
  Geometric of Woodland not conducive to lower speed

One thing to change:
- Do something to make it safe for walkers to cross Hammond St.
- Reduce 85% vehicle speed; review potential of lane reduction
- In a word, it’s treacherous and unbikeable!
- Slow cars down
- More trees
Hi, my name is Nia Negran. I go to Baker school in Brookline and I'm in 5th grade. I love Baker and Brookline, but there is one problem. On Hammond St, where the fire station is, there isn't a crosswalk. My dad, my brother, and I would love to walk to school more often, but it is too dangerous, that we have to drive to school. Every day, joggers, runners, people who walk their dogs etc have to go through the streets without a crosswalk. Imagine I am jogging to Dane Park from school. I have to pass the round about to get there and for anybody no matter what age, it's so dangerous especially for kids. If we had a crosswalk in the front of the fire station it would be so much easier for so many people. People also have to go to the fire station to vote. Think of how difficult that would be! All I'm saying is that if we had a crosswalk in front of the fire station on Hammond St, it would make people's lives better, easier, and safer. Thank you.